Intramolecular cation– π interactions control the conformation of nonrestricted (phenylalkyl)pyridines†

Isabella Richter,^a Jusaku Minari,^b Philip Axe,^a John P. Lowe,^c Tony D. James,^a Kazuo Sakurai,^b Steven D. Bull^{*a} and John S. Fossey^{*a}

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 1st November 2007, Accepted 6th December 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 8th January 2008 DOI: 10.1039/b716937j

NOEsy and fluorescence spectroscopy reveal that conversion of conformationally flexible (phenylalkyl)pyridines into their corresponding N-methyl-pyridinium iodides results in intramolecular π -stacking.

Aromatic interactions have been widely used for template directed and asymmetric syntheses, $1-4$ with the conformation of interacting molecules having been proposed to be controlled by aromatic stacking interactions.⁵⁻⁷ This type of stacking interaction is also important in biological systems, where they have been implicated in a number of biomolecular recognition events.^{8,9} A number of model systems have been proposed to explore these stacking phenomena, $10-12$ with a range of spectroscopic techniques used to probe both inter- and intramolecular interactions.10,13,14 However, as Cozzi and co-workers have recently discussed,¹⁵ it is often difficult to demonstrate that aromatic–aromatic interactions are solely responsible for conformational control.¹⁶

One potentially powerful class of stacking effects employs supramolecular cation– π interactions.¹⁷ This type of stacking event is known to be important in biological systems, $18,19$ playing important roles in binding of the pyridinium ring of $NAD⁺$ to tryptophan in the active sites of enzymes,²⁰ as well as in the stacking of positively charged alkylated DNA bases in excision repair enzymes.²¹ Pyridinium cation– π interactions have also been used as a control element for supramolecular chemistry to form host–guest complexes of anions, 22 and to control the helical architecture of polyphenylenes that contain a central dimethylaminopyridinium fragment.²³ Yamada et al. have also demonstrated that pyridinium cation– π interactions can be used to bias the conformation of amide derivatives 1 and 2 (Fig. 1) that has proven to be useful for asymmetric synthesis.^{17,24–27}

However, it should be noted that many of the pyridinium cation– π interactions described to date have been reported for conformationally restricted substrates that favour the occurrence of stacking (Fig. 1). Consequently, we decided to determine whether pyridinium cation– π interactions could be

7AY. E-mail: s.d.bull@bath.ac.uk. E-mail: j.fossey@bath.ac.uk ^b Faculty of Environmental Engineering, Department of Chemical Process and Environments, The University of Kitakyushu, 1-1, Hibikino, Wakamatsu-ku, Kitakyushu, Fukuoka, Japan

Fig. 1 Conformationally restricted π -stacked pyridinium systems.

used to control conformationally non-restricted pyridiniums, since this would enable this design element to be applied to a wider range of systems.

The simple intramolecular strategy that was proposed to explore stacking interactions in conformationally mobile pyridyl species is shown in Fig. 2. In this approach, we wished to determine whether N-methylation of a non-restricted parasubstituted pyridine species 3 linked to an aryl group *via* an alkyl chain would result in formation of a stacked pyridinium species 4. 28

Therefore, pyridines containing ethyl, n-propyl and n-butyl linkers were prepared in 35–40% overall yield, via Grignard addition of the appropriate phenylalkyl magnesium bromide to isonicotinonitrile, followed by Wolff–Kishner reduction of the resultant ketones. The resultant pyridines 5a–c were then converted to their corresponding N-methyl-pyridinium iodides 6a–c in 70–94% yield via treatment with methyl iodide in acetone (Scheme 1).^{29,30}

The presence of any aromatic–aromatic association within pyridinium salts 6a–c in solution was probed via NOEsy, since this approach had been used previously to demonstrate the presence of stacking in more rigid pyridinium species. It was first demonstrated that pyridines 5a–c exhibited no NOEsy crosspeaks between their pyridyl and phenyl rings, thus indicating that no intramolecular aromatic–aromatic interactions were present. Conversely, analysis of the NOEsy spectra of N-methyl-pyridinium salts 6a–c revealed distinct crosspeaks between the H_3 protons of their pyridinium fragments and their H_{2} phenyl protons (shown for 6b in Fig. 3).³¹

Fig. 2 Does alkylation of conformationally mobile phenylalkylpyridine 3 result in intramolecular stacking of the N-alkyl-pyridinium salt 4?

^a Department of Chemistry, The University of Bath, Bath, UK BA2

 c Bath NMR Spectroscopy, Department of Chemistry, The University of Bath, Bath, UK BA2 7AY

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details. See DOI: 10.1039/b716937j

Scheme 1 Synthesis of N-methyl-pyridinium salts 6a-c.

Therefore, irradiation of the pyridinium H₃-protons (\sim 7.80 ppm) of N-methyl-pyridinium salts 6a, 6b and 6c resulted in 0.28% , 0.15% and 0.07% NOE enhancements of their respective phenyl H₂-protons (\sim 7.20 ppm). Consequently, these NOEsy studies clearly indicated that N-methylation of pyridines 5a–c had resulted in conformational change occurring to bring the pyridinium and phenyl fragments of their resultant iodide salts 6a–c into close proximity.

Whilst these NOEsy experiments suggested the presence of intramolecular cation– π interactions, we sought further evidence for this phenomenon using fluorescence spectroscopic techniques that had been used previously to probe cation– π interactions in other types of pyridinium systems. In these cases, the presence of excimer fluorescent emissions was used to confirm that aromatic–aromatic interactions were present.32,33

Excitation of 0.6 μ M solutions of the parent pyridines 5a–c in dichloromethane at 260 nm resulted in no significant fluorescence. However, excitation of the corresponding N methyl-pyridinium salts 6a–c resulted in significant (6 to 50 fold) fluorescent enhancements under identical conditions (Fig. 4). Importantly, the fluorescence spectrum of Nmethyl-pyridinium iodide (in the presence and absence of 1.0 equiv. of benzene) revealed no significant fluorescent enhancement. This suggests that the large fluorescent enhancement observed for pyridinium salts 6a–c was a consequence of intramolecular π – π -stacking excimer emission. The relative order of fluorescent enhancement of pyridinium salts $6b$ $6a > 6c$ (50, 23 and 6 fold, respectively) may reflect the fact that pyridinium salt 6b contains a C_3 -linker that is the optimal length for 'face–face' $\pi-\pi$ interactions to occur,³⁴ which in turn would lead to the largest fluorescence response. This is in contrast to the non-optimal orientations of the aryl rings of pyridinium salts 6a and 6c that contain C_2 - and C_4 -linkers,

Fig. 3 Structure and selected region of the NOEsy spectrum of N-methyl-pyridinium iodide 6b.

Fig. 4 Comparative fluorescence spectra of pyridines 5a–c and Nmethyl-pyridinium salts **6a–c** ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 260 \text{ nm}, 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$).

respectively, whose lower fluorescence responses are likely to result from non-parallel interactions leading to weaker emissions. Indeed, whilst pyridinium salt 6a exhibits the largest NOE enhancement between its H_3/H_2 protons, indicating that its aryl fragments are in close proximity, it displays a lower fluorescent enhancement than the C_3 -linked pyridinium salt 6b, which implies that its C_2 -linker is too short to maximise parallel face–face π -interactions. Conversely, the C₄-linker of pyridinium salt 6c appears to be too long for efficient interaction of its pyridinium and aryl fragments to occur, with the weakest NOE and fluorescent responses being observed accordingly.

In conclusion, we have used NOEsy and fluorescence spectroscopy to demonstrate that N-methyl-pyridinium salts exhibit intramolecular stacking in solution. We anticipate that this demonstration of the generality of this effect in simple systems will result in this tool for conformational control being used more widely for applications in other synthetic, catalytic and bioorganic scenarios.

We are grateful to the Royal Society (JM, KS, SDB), DAAD (IR), EPSRC (PA) and the Leverhulme Trust F/00351/P (JSF).

Notes and references

- 1 D. B. Amabilino and J. F. Stoddart, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 2725–2828.
- 2 H. C. Kolb, P. G. Andersson and K. B. Sharpless, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 1278-1291.
- 3 B. M. Trost, D. O'Krongly and J. L. Belletire, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 7595–7596.
- 4 M. Keller, C. Lehmann and M. Mutter, Tetrahedron, 1999, 55, 413–422.
- 5 G. J. Gabriel and B. L. Iverson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 15174–15175.
- 6 G. J. Gabriel, S. Sorey and B. L. Iverson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 2637–2640.
- 7 M. Weck, A. R. Dunn, K. Matsumoto, G. W. Coates, E. B. Lobkovsky and R. H. Grubbs, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1999, 38, 2741–2745.
- 8 K. M. Guckian, B. A. Schweitzer, R. X. F. Ren, C. J. Sheils, D. C. Tahmassebi and E. T. Kool, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 2213–2222.
- 9 G. B. McGaughey, M. Gagne and A. K. Rappe, J. Biol. Chem., 1998, 273, 15458–15463.
- 10 C. A. Hunter, K. R. Lawson, J. Perkins and C. J. Urch, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 2001, 651–669.
- 11 E. A. Meyer, R. K. Castellano and F. Diederich, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1210–1250.
- 12 M. L. Waters, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2002, 6, 736–741.
- 13 S. L. Cockroft, J. Perkins, C. Zonta, H. Adams, S. E. Spey, C. M. R. Low, J. G. Vinter, K. R. Lawson, C. J. Urch and C. A. Hunter, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2007, 5, 1062–1080.
- 14 C. A. Hunter, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1994, 23, 101–109.
- 15 G. Dell'Anna, M. Benaglia, L. Raimondi and F. Cozzi, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2007, 5, 2205–2206.
- 16 Y. El-Azizi, A. Schmitzer and S. K. Collins, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 968–973.
- 17 S. Yamada, T. Misono and S. Tsuzuki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 9862–9872.
- 18 H. Ritter, F. Koch-Nolte, V. E. Marquez and G. E. Schulz, Biochemistry, 2003, 42, 10155–10162.
- 19 D. T. Major and J. L. Gao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 16345–16357.
- 20 S. Yamamoto-Katayama, M. Ariyoshi, K. Ishihara, T. Hirano, H. Jingami and K. Morikawa, J. Mol. Biol., 2002, 316, 711–723.
- 21 B. F. Eichman, E. J. O'Rourke, J. P. Radicella and T. Ellenberger, EMBO J., 2003, 22, 4898–4909.
- 22 W. J. Belcher, M. Fabre, T. Farhan and J. W. Steed, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2006, 4, 781–786.
- 23 J. M. Heemstra and J. S. Moore, Chem. Commun., 2004, 1480–1481.
- 24 S. Yamada, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2007, 5, 2903–2912.
- 25 S. Yamada, Y. Morimoto and T. Misono, Tetrahedron Lett., 2005, 46, 5673–5676.
- 26 S. Yamada, J. Yamamoto and E. Ohta, Tetrahedron Lett., 2007, 48, 855–858.
- 27 S. Yamada and C. Morita, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 8184–8185.
- 28 For a related study that explored the cation– π interactions of sterically constrained pyridinium species see: M. J. Rashkin, R. M. Hughes, N. T. Calloway and M. L. Waters, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 13320–13325.
- 29 E. Reimann, J. Speckbacher and H. Lotter, Arch. Pharm., 1987, 320, 385–393.
- 30 E. Reimann, J. Speckbacher and J. Schunemann, Arch. Pharm., 1990, 323, 35–39.
- 31 The resonances of pyridines 5a–c and N-methyl-pyridiniums 6a–c were assigned by consideration of their chemical shifts and coupling constants of their ¹H NMR spectra.
- 32 A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, A. J. M. Huxley, C. P. McCoy, J. T. Rademacher and T. E. Rice, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 1515–1566.
- 33 Fluorescence spectroscopy has been used to probe intermolecular π –cation interactions of pyridiniums. See: T. Ishida, S. Ibe and M. Inoue, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1984, 297–304; I. Willner, S. Marx-Tibbon, S. Cohen, Y. Eichen and M. Kaftori, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 1997, 10, 435–444.
- 34 Recent *ab initio* calculations on π -cation interactions of N-methylpyridiniums with benzene revealed that a slipped parallel 'face–face' orientation of their aryl rings was predicted to be most favourable, see: S. Tsuzuki, M. Mikami and S. Yamada, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 8656–8662.